Implementation of renewable energy and smart solution technologies to pre-existing energy system; A Cost Benefit Analysis Case Study: InteGRIDy

Bjarnhedinn Gudlaugsson^{1,*}, Tariq Ahmed^{2,*}, Huda Dawood¹, Chris Ogwumike¹

¹ School of Computing, Engineering, and Digital Technology, Teesside University, TS1 3BX, United Kingdom

² Chemical Engineering , Lancaster University, Bailrigg, Lancaster LA1 4YX , United Kingdom

*Presenters email: <u>b.gudlaugsson@tees.ac.uk</u> & <u>T.Ahmed5@lancaster.ac.uk</u>







The Problem Statement

- The implementation of smart and renewable technology to the pre-existing energy system has the opportunity to provide beneficial economic gains to the internal stakeholder such as energy system operators, alongside achieving other additional improvements in terms of environmental and social aspects.
- Therefore, the analysis focused on examining each pilot site's monetized costs and economic benefits to highlight the economic benefits obtained from implementing smart and renewable energy technologies.

The concept and overall objective of the inteGRIDy project - "inteGRIDy aims to integrate cutting-edge technologies, solutions and mechanisms in a Framework of replicable tools to connect existing energy networks with diverse stakeholders, facilitating optimal and dynamic operation of the Distribution Grid (DG), fostering the stability and coordination of distributed energy resources and enabling collaborative storage schemes within an increasing share of renewables." [1]







Pilot Sites (Cases)

Barcelona

- Scenario A Conventional energy system without the implementation of any smart solution technology.
- Scenario B Smart solution implementation that includes PV system with battery storage technology and the Integrated Energy Platform (IEP) that deals with the participation in the electricity market as a flexibility provider to the grid in addition to the conventional energy system.

St Jean

- Scenario A based on the assumption that the energy supply from pre-existing energy systems only comes from conventional fossil energy resources without any localized energy technologies.
- Scenario B based around the introduction and implementation of renewable energy technologies and smart technology solutions to the pre-existing energy system from scenario A







Research Approach



Data Input and Indicators

KPI	Domain	Units	КРІ	Domain	Units
Average Cost of Energy	Economic	(€ / year)	RES Generation	Technical	(MWh / year)
Average cost of Energy Consumption	Economic	(€ / year)	Energy Consumption Reduction (Demand	Technical	(MWh / year)
Cost of Energy	Economic	(€ / year)	Flexibility)		
Energy Payback Time	Environmental	(€ / year)	Energy Mismatch	Technical	(MWh / year) (€ , year)
Energy Consumption	Technical	(MWh / year)	Share of RES Cost of Flexibility	Technical Economic	(MWh / year) €
(Yearly, Monthly, Daily)			Investment Costs	Economic	€
Energy Imports	Technical	(€ / year)	Operation and Maintenance Costs	Economic	€
Flexibility		t	Energy Price per MW	Economic	€







Results - Barcelona

Over the 20-year period considered for this evaluation;

- Scenario A with no smart solution implementation (baseline), require a total of 47 MWh of electricity and 24 MWh of gas which amounts to € 5,731,156.
- Scenario B The incorporation of the technologies will require electricity demand of 47 MWh and a gas demand of 20 MWh making a reduction of 4 MWh of gas amounting to a capital investment (CAPEX) of € 80,709 an operating cost of € 65,000.
- The overall cost of the smart solution technologies implemented in the Barcelona pilot adds up to € 5,662,921.







Results - Barcelona

Sensitivity Analysis for Barcelona

Discount Rates		2.00%		3.50%	
Total Energy Costs Scenario A	€ 5,618,780.00		€ 5,537,348.41		
CAPEX Scenario B	€	79,126.05	€	77,979.29	
OPEX Scenario B		€ 5,472,757.24		5,393,441.92	
Total Cost Scenario B	€	5,551,883.29	€	5,471,421.21	
Revenue Scenario B	€	314,259.30	€	309,704.82	
NPV Scenario B	€	32,839.10	€	32,363.17	

- Overall, the analysis indicated that implementation of smart solution tools for the Barcelona Pilot are economically viable with a payback time of 8.2 years.
- The technologies were also able to save over 53 tonnes of carbon dioxide emission just within the first year.
- The low income is generated through the participation in DR events which is a consequence of the electricity market framework.







Results – St. Jean

The transition towards an energy system where the energy generation is entirely based on renewable energy technology

- seem to be economically viable for DSO stakeholders within the St-Jean energy system, with DSO obtaining a total economic gain of € 1,127,344.46 (NPV) over the whole simulation period from 2019 to 2039.
- holds a social impact since the transiting to a fully renewable-based energy system enables a shift towards greener energy consumption.
- improves the system's energy security and stability, with a higher degree of flexibility to meet demands within the system (*see flexibility figure*).
- Table illustrate results from the economic assessment concerning the investments, income, and economic benefits gained from flexibility for both scenarios.







Results – St. Jean

Sensitivity Analysis for St Jean Pilot

			Scenario A vs Scenario B		
Variables (€)	2.80%	3.50%	2039		
Total Energy System Investment (Scenario A)	29,681,906.61	29,481,159.42	2037 2035 ■ % Change in Flexibility in		
Total Investment (Scenario B)	63,883,765.23	63,451,701.11	2033 2031 ■ % Change in Flexibility in the System Scenario A		
Economic benefits from the Flexibility (Scenario A)	5,127,606.75	5,092,927.29	2029 2027 2025		
Economic benefits from the Flexibility (Scenario B)	65,058,511	64,618,502	2023 2021		
Total Income (Scenario A)	€ 552,954,300	549,214,512	2019 -25% -15% -5% 5% 15% 25% 35% Flexibility		
Total Income (Scenario B)	€ 628.648.656	624.396.926			







Outcome – Policy Recommendation

- The implementation is economically positive for both pilot sites and provide stakeholder with economical gains.
- The implementation does provide environmental positive results with decease in GHG emission.
- Improved energy security in terms of increase energy flexibility through improve energy production capacity and implementation of smart technology solutions.
- Policy recommendation Set forward clear energy transition pathways.







Thank you for your attention!

Any Questions?







REFERENCE

[1] inteGRIDy webpage (2021), Concept - Statement and Objective, <u>http://www.integridy.eu/node/2</u> [Accessed: 28.09.2021]

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS



This project has received funding from the European Union's H2020 research and innovation programme under the grant agreement No 731268



The authors wants to thank all the partners in inteGRIDy project for all their insightful comments and good discussion during the work on this research project.





